

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2(a)

Local Review Body

6 October 2010

Planning Application for Review

Mr & Mrs Rice Erection of Deck at Front of Dwellinghouse: 12 Coll Avenue, Port Glasgow (10/0078/IC)

Contents

- Planning Application and plans (NB plans circulated separately)
- Site photographs
- Report of Handling dated 14 May 2010
- Decision Notice dated 19 May 2010
- Notice of Review together with 2 sets of plans (NB plans circulated separately)

Please note that there are no suggested conditions should planning permission be granted on review.

Planning and Housing

Development Management

Inverclyde

Head of Planning and Housing Cathcart House 6 Cathcart Square Greenock PA15 1LS

(see note 1)

15155 20% 5599

FOR O	FFICIAL USE ONLY
Referen	
Date of	Receipt 15 3 10
Fee Pai	d
Date Fe	e Received
Date Va	lid
Receipt	No

PLANNING APPLICATION

Town & Country Planning (Scotland) Acts

The undernoted applicant beliefly makes application for Planning Permission for the development described on this form and the accompanying plans

1. Particulars of Applicant	Particulars of Agent (if any) acting on applicants behalf:	
Name MR + MRS RICE	Name RICHARD ROBB ARCHITECTS	
Address 12 COLL AVENUE	Address 75-77 ALBERT ROAD,	
PORT GLASGOW POSTCODE PAIA 6AB	GOUROCK Postcode PAI9 INJ	
Telephone Number	Telephone Number 630877	
	Profession AS ABOVE	
see note 2		
2. Description of Development		(14, 10, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14
Site Area (hectares) FORT GLASC	Number of dwellinghouses proposed	
	New gross floorspace (sq. metres	<u> </u>
see note 3		
3. Application Type (Tick appropriate box/es)		
(a) PlanningPermission in Principle	(c) Detailed Permission	
(b) Approval of Matters Specified by Condition	(d) Change of Use of land/buildings	
(e) Other (please specify)		
see note 4		
4. Applicants interest in site (Tick appropriate	box)	
(a) Owner	(c) Tenant	
(b) Lessee	(d) Prospective Purchaser	
(e) Other (please specify)		

Pevision 'A' - Movember 2005 Revision 'B' - December 2009 Revision 'C' - July 2009





5. Existing Uses			
(a) Please state the existing use(s) of the land/bu			
(b) Was the original building erected before 1			Yes / No
Has the original building been altered or exte	nded		Yes/No
If yes, please indicate nature of alteration / exte	ension and if	possible approximate dates	
		40° J	
If the land / buildings are vacant, please state las	t known use.	н A	
see note 6			
6. Access Arrangements and Parking (Tio	k appropriate	e box/es)	
(a) Not Applicable		(e) Number of existing on site parking p	olaces
(b) New vehicular access proposed		(f) Number of proposed on site parking pla	aces
(c) Existing vehicular access to be altered / improved		(g) Detail of any available off site parking	
(d) Separate pedestrian access proposed			
(see note 7)			
7. Drainage Arrangements (Tick appropriate	te box/es)		
(a) Not Applicable	V	(c) Connection to existing public sewer	
(b) Public Sewer		(d) Septic Tank	
If (d), indicate method of disposal of effluent (e.g.	J. soakaway,	watercourse etc)	
(see note 8)			
8. Water Supply (Tick appropriate box/es)			
(a) Not Applicable	ল	(c) Existing private supply	
(b) Public Main		(d) Proposed private supply	
If (c) or (d), please specify nature of supply so and proposed storage arrangements			
(see note 9)			
Building Materials (Complete as approp	riate)		
(a) Not Applicable			Total Control of the
(b) Outside Walls	Material		
(c) Roof Covering	Colour Material		
	Material		
(e) Boundary Treatment	Colour	IMBER ELF	

see	note	10)

(See Hote 10)			
10. Landscaping			
Is a landscaping/tree planting scheme	proposed?	Yes] No [
Are any trees/shrubs to be cleared on	site?	Yes	
If yes, please show details of scheme	on a SITE PLAN		
see note 11			
11. Costings			
What is the estimated costs of any wo	rks to be carried out?	£ 4,500	
see note12			
12. Confirmation			
Signature of applicant/agent			
on behalf of MR & MRS RIC	E	Date	
(see note 13)			
CERTIFICATES LINDS	D A DTICLE 15 OF THE TOW	M AND COLINTRY PLANNI	NG
CERTIFICATES UNDER ARTICLE 15 OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE)(SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008			
Either certificate A, B or C must be completed together with certificate E			
CERTIFICATE A (To be completed wh	ere the applicant is owner of the	whole application site includ	ing any
access visibility splays and land requ	red for drainage systems or wa	ter connections)	
I hereby certify that:			
No person other than * payself/the ap	plicant was an owner (refer to no	ote (a)) of any part of the land	f to which the
application relates at the beginning of			
CERTIFICATE B (To be completed whis visibility splays and land required for			luding any access
I further certify that:			
* I have/the applicant has given the	and the matter (Nation No. 1) to	all nomans other than * muse	of / the applicant
who at the beginning of the period of note (a)) owners of any part of the lar	21 days ending with the date of	the accompanying application	
Name(s) of Owner	Address(es)		Date of Service
1			of Notice(s)
	/		of Notice(s)
			and the state of t

* Delete whichever is inappropriate

NOTE (a) Any person who in respect of any part of the land is the proprietor of the dominium utile or is the lessee under a lease thereof of which not less than 7 years remains unexpired.

CERTIFICATE C (To be completed in <u>EVERY CASE</u>)			
I further certify that:			
* (1) None of the land to which the application relate	es constitutes or forms part of an ag	ricultural holding	
* (2) I have/the applicant has given the requisite not the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the agricultural holding any part of which was comprised	date of the application was a tenant	t of any	
These persons are: Name(s) Address(es		Date of Service of Notice(s)	
CERTIFICATE D			
I confirm that I have been unable to notify all parties u	nder Certificates A, B and C		
* Delete whichever is inappropriate			
Signature of Applicant/Agent			
On behalf of MR I-MRS RICE			
Date 11/3/10			
(see note 15)			
CHECKLIST - The following documentation should be submitted:			
please tick all boxes			
TWO APPLICATION FORMS	DESIGN & ACCESS STA (National and Major appli		
FOUR SETS OF PLANS			
FEE (Where appropriate)	PRE-APPLICATION CON (National and Major appli		

WARNING

If any person issues a certificate which purports to comply with the requirements of Section 35 of The Town and County Planning (Scotland) Acts, and contains a statement which he knows to be false or misleading in a material particular or recklessly issues a certificate which purports to comply with those requirements and which contains a statement which is false or misleading in a material particular he shall be guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a fine not exceeding level 3 on the standard scale.

12 COLL AVEHUE, PORTGLASGOW. 10/0078/IC









Inverclyde

REPORT OF HANDLING

Report By:

Mike Martin

Report No:

10/0078/IC

Local Application Development

Contact Officer:

01475 712412

Date:

14th May 2010

Subject:

Erection of deck at front of dwellinghouse at

12 Coll Avenue Port Glasgow PA14 6AB

SITE DESCRIPTION

The application site comprises a two storey semi- detached house which is situated on the south side of Coll Avenue, Port Glasgow. The neighbouring properties are similar in terms of their scale, design and materials.

PROPOSAL

The proposal is to erect a timber decking within the front garden of the property. Due to the topography of the site the dwellinghouse is elevated substantially above the roadway level. Access is via steps to reach a level platform at the front elevation. The proposed decking is approximately 2.7 metres in depth (at its widest point) by 3.2 metres in width situated above a steeply sloping rocky outcrop which occupies much of the front garden area. The floor level of the proposed deck is approximately 3.5 metres above the level of the adjoining public footway on Coll Avenue.

The property has been the subject of a recent application for planning application for a similar proposal, permission for which was refused in November 2009. The current proposal differs from the previous in so far as it represents a reduction in the extent of decking area. The overall depth of the decking (at its widest point) would be reduced by 1.3 metres from approximately 4.0 metres. The structure proposed is timber finished in a brown wood stain.

LOCAL PLAN POLICIES

Local Plan Policy H1 - Safeguarding the Character and Amenity of Residential Areas

The character and amenity of existing residential areas, identified on the Proposals Map, will be safeguarded, and where practicable, enhanced. New residential development will be acceptable, in principle, subject to other relevant Local Plan policies.

Local Plan Policy DC1 - Development Control Advice

Inverclyde Council, as Planning Authority, will support applications for planning, listed building and advertisement consent, where applicable, which accord with the principles established in the



Council's Planning Practice Advice Notes.

PPAN8 - Balconies applies.

PPAN15 - Garden Decking applies.

CONSULTATIONS

No consultations were required.

PUBLICITY

The nature of the proposal did not require advertisement.

SITE NOTICES

The nature of the proposal did not require a site notice.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

No representations have been received.

ASSESSMENT

The material considerations in the assessment of this application are the Local Plan, Planning Practice Advice Note no.15 (Garden Decking) and, in particular, the impact upon the character and amenity of the existing residential area and of adjacent properties.

In terms of the Local Plan, policy DC1 advises that applications which accord with the Council's Planning Practice Advice Notes (PPAN's) will be acceptable in safeguarding the character and amenity residential areas, such as required by policy H1. In this respect I have a number of concerns.

As a consequence of natural land form, the application site is elevated substantially to the street, and in this way the current proposal does not differ from the previous scheme. The application site is at a particularly prominent location and its front garden area is highly visible from a wide area, including a number of nearby residential properties. The effect of the proposal would be to introduce a prominent structure to the street frontage which I consider would be incongruous and accordingly would have an adverse effect upon the character and amenity of the surrounding residential area. As required by PPAN no.15, this does not have due regard to the architectural design of the house.

In addition, the proposed structure would enable a potentially intrusive view of the neighbouring semi-detached property, and also those properties situated directly across the street. This does not respect the rights of neighbouring residents to enjoy their property without intrusive overlooking. Whilst the proposed structure comprises timber decking, due to its elevated position it is similar in character to a balcony (being on an elevated platform) and, as a consequence is also assessed on the basis of PPAN no.8 (Balconies). Although the current proposal constitutes a reduction in area of decking, I remain concerned that its scale is such that it will afford residents the opportunity of making extensive use over substantial periods of day and evening to the extent that continuous activity may impinge upon the amenity of neighbouring residents. The position and scale of the proposal is such that the introduction of side screens would be to little effect. Overall it is

considered that the proposal would not accord with Policy H1 nor with supplementary planning guidance contained within PPANs nos 8 and 15.

DECISION

That the application be refused

Reasons

- Due to the position, scale and design of the decking, it has a detrimental impact on the design of the dwellinghouse, on the appearance of the street and on the amenity of adjoining and adjacent neighbours. The proposal is therefore a departure from Policy H1 of the Local Plan in that it would not safeguard the character and amenity of the existing residential area.
- 2. The position, scale and design of the decking conflicts with the guidance contained within the Council's Planning Practice Advice Notes no.8 (Balconies), and no.15 (Garden Decking).

Signed:

Case Officer: Mike Martin

Stuart Jamieson Head of Regeneration and Planning

DECISION NOTICE

Refusal of Planning Permission

Issued under Delegated Powers

Regeneration and Planning 6 Cathcart Square Greenock PA15 1LS



10/0078/IC

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008

Mr and Mrs Rice 12 Coll Avenue Port Glasgow **PA14 6AB**

Richard Robb Architects 75/77 Albert Road Gourock **PA19 1NJ**

With reference to your application dated 15th March 2010 for planning permission under the above mentioned Act and Regulation for the following development:-

Erection of deck at front of dwellinghouse at

12 Coll Avenue, Port Glasgow, PA14 6AB,

Category of Application Local Application Development

The INVERCLYDE COUNCIL in exercise of their powers under the abovementioned Act and Regulation hereby refuse planning permission for the said development.

The reasons for the Council's decision are:-

- 1. Due to the position, scale and design of the decking, it has a detrimental impact on the design of the dwellinghouse, on the appearance of the street and on the amenity of adjoining and adjacent neighbours. The proposal is therefore a departure from Policy H1 of the Local Plan in that it would not safeguard the character and amenity of the existing residential area.
- 2. The position, scale and design of the decking conflicts with the guidance contained within the Council's Planning Practice Advice Notes no.8 (Balconies), and no.15 (Garden Decking).

The reason why the Council made this decision is explained in the attached Report of Handling.

Dated this 19th day of May 2010

Head of Regeneration and Planning









- If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the Planning Authority to refuse permission for or approval required by condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, he may seek a review of the decision within three months from the date of this notice. The request for review shall be addressed to The Head of Legal and Administration, Inverclyde Council, Municipal Buildings, Greenock, PA15 1LY.
- If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions, and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by the carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, he may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of his interest in the land in accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997

Refused Plans:

Drawing No:	Version:	Dated:
LOC/01		01.09.2009
PL/01		01.09.2009
EL/01		01.09.2009
EL/02		01.09.2009
PL/02		01.09.2009
EL/03		01.09.2009
EL/04		01.09.2009

Inverclyde	LEGAL SERVICES
council	RECEIVED 2 6 JUL 2010
NOTICE OF REVIE	5/M/SN 2249

UNDER SECTION 43A(8) OF THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (AS AMENDED) IN RESPECT OF DECISIONS ON LOCAL DEVELOPMENTS

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCHEMES OF DELEGATION AND LOCAL REVIEW PROCEDURE) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008

THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (APPEALS) (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2008

IMPORTANT: Please read and follow the quidance notes provided when completing this form. Failure to supply all the relevant information could invalidate your notice of review.

Use BLOCK CAPITALS if completing in manuscript

Applicant(s)		Agent (if any)
Name	HERMES LITLIAM RICE	Name RICHARD ROBB.
Address	12 COLL LUE PORT GLASGON	Address 45/44 ALBERT ROAD GOUROCK
Postcode	PAIL GAB	Postcode PAIG INT
Contact Tele Contact Tele Fax No.		Contact Telephone 1 <u>।।।।।।। 630८९५</u> Contact Telephone 2 Fax No.
E-mail*		E-mail*
* Do you ag	ree to correspondence regarding your	Mark this box to confirm all contact should be through this representative: review being sent by e-mail? Yes No
Planning au	uthority	INVERCRYDE COUNCIL
Planning au	uthority's application reference number	10/0048/10
Site address 12 Call Ave Port Chasgoll		
Description developme	of proposed	FRONT OF HOUSE
Date of app	Dication 15-03-10	Date of decision (if any) 19 - 05 - 10
Note This	notice must be served on the planning	authority within three months of the date of the

decision notice or from the date of expiry of the period allowed for determining the application.

Natu	re of application	Inverc	lyde council
1. 2. 3.	Application for planning permission (including householder application for planning permission in principle Further application (including development that has not yet co a time limit has been imposed; renewal of planning permission variation or removal of a planning condition) Application for approval of matters specified in conditions	mmenced and wh	ere ion,
Reas	sons for seeking review		,
1. 2. 3.	Refusal of application by appointed officer Failure by appointed officer to determine the application within for determination of the application Conditions imposed on consent by appointed officer	the period allowe	
Revi	ew procedure		
at ar to en of pr	Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to de ny time during the review process require that further information hable them to determine the review. Further information may be re- rocedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or re- ecting the land which is the subject of the review case.	n or representation quired by one or a c	ns be made combination
the h	ise indicate what procedure (or combination of procedures) you nandling of your review. You may tick more than one box if you wi combination of procedures.	u think is most app ish the review to be	propriate for e conducted
1. 2. 3. 4	Further written submissions One or more hearing sessions Site inspection Assessment of review documents only, with no further proce	dure	N N
belo	ou have marked box 1 or 2, please explain here which of the matte low) you believe ought to be subject of that procedure, and why yo hearing are necessary:	ers (as set out in you u consider further s	ur statement submissions
Site	e inspection ne event that the Local Review Body decides to inspect the rev	iew site, in your op	oinion:
1. 2.	Can the site be viewed entirely from public land? Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely, and without I	,	Yes, No
if th	nere are reasons why you think the Local Review Body would be companied site inspection, please explain here:	ld be unable to u	indertake an

Inverclyde

Statement

You must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters you consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. Note: you may not have a further opportunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your notice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to consider as part of your review.

If the Local Review Body issues a notice requesting further information from any other person or body, you will have a period of 14 days in which to comment on any additional matter which has been raised by that person or body.

State here the reasons for your notice of review and all matters you wish to raise. If necessary, this can be continued or provided in full in a separate document. You may also submit additional documentation with this form.

SEE ATTACHED MOTE'S.	
Yes No/	
Have you raised any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time the determination on your application was made?	
If yes, you should explain in the box below, why you are raising new material, why it was no raised with the appointed officer before your application was determined and why you consider it should now be considered in your review.	rt
	=

We note that the position, scale and design of the proposed decking is opinioned by the case officer to have a detrimental impact on the design of the house, appearance of the street and on the amenity of adjoining and adjacent neighbours. We also note the comment that the scale is such that it affords the opportunity of extensive use over substantial periods of day and evening.

We would like to impress upon the local review body that the main reason for taking forward our application, following extensive discussions with our agent and a meeting with the case officer and former Head of Service, was to design a construction which improved the amenity of the existing streetscape and afforded us limited use of our front garden which, as it currently stands, is entirely unusable due to the steep topography and significant rocky outcrop.

Following the rejection of our initial application we redesigned our proposal to reduce the size by 40 % in an attempt to satisfy the local plan and relevant planning practice advice notes (Nos. 8 & 15). Our agent met with the case officer to discuss these reductions. We were surprised that despite these amendments and discussions our 2nd application was refused on the same grounds as the initial application.

We understand the reasoning behind the officers comment that the scale affords the opportunity of extensive use over substantial periods of day and evening. However, we are a couple in our 50s with no children at home and would certainly not be using the decking area extensively day and night. Furthermore, should over-use become a problem by future owners it is our understanding that both the Police and the Council have existing powers to tackle such a situation. We believe that it is unreasonable to cite a problem that

- a) may never arise, and
- b) could be addressed using other legislation,

as grounds for refusal of our application.

We believe that it is of note that the proposed decking would barely be visible when approaching west along Coll Ave from the main arterial route of Arran Avenue. Whilst the decking would be visible from the eastern approach from Bute Avenue, this is an approach which is approx. 100m in length and is butted by Bute Avenue limiting views to approximately 150m at a 45° field of vision. We believe that this limited visibility has not been taken into consideration when considering the impact on the appearance of the street.

With regards to the case officers opinion that the proposal would have a detrimental impact on the amenity of adjoining and adjacent neighbours. We would like to take this opportunity to highlight that the house is already in an elevated position which cannot afford anything other than an intrusive view from both the ground floor and 1st floor windows on the north facing (front) elevation. However the proposed decking would sit a full 2m below the height of the existing ground floor window and have a finished decking level approx 800mm below the damp proof course of the house. It is our opinion that this considerably lower level of seating area is actually less intrusive than the view from the front elevation windows or main entrance door. It is our understanding that no neighbour objections to the proposed development have been received. We believe that this further evidences the position that neither we nor our adjacent or adjoining neighbours consider the proposal to be intrusive nor likely have a detrimental impact on the design of the house or the appearance of the street. Furthermore, it is our belief that the proposed design will improve the streetscape by utilising a small area (16 %) of a front garden which is on the whole non-developable and offers no contribution to the aesthetics of the street.

We therefore ask that the local review body take cognisance of these facts in its considerations.



List of documents and evidence

Please provide a list of all supporting documents.	, materials and evidence which you wish to submit
with your notice of review and intend to rely on in	n support of your review.

Please pro with your r	ovide a list of all supporting documents, materials notice of review and intend to rely on in support	of your review.
(x	SET'S OF PLAN'S. REPORT OF HANDLING. DECISION NOTICE.	
Note. The planning authority will make a copy of the notice of review, the review documents and any notice of the procedure of the review available for inspection at an office of the planning authority until such time as the review is determined. It may also be available on the planning authority website.		
	it nark the appropriate boxes to confirm you have relevant to your review:	provided all supporting documents and
	Full completion of all parts of this form	
	Statement of your reasons for requiring a rev	view
	All documents, materials and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and drawings or other documents) which are now the subject of this review.	
Note. Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified in conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice from that earlier consent.		
Declaration I the applicant/agent [delete as appropriate] hereby serve notice on the planning authority to review the application as set out on this form and in the supporting documents.		
Signed		Date 21 ~ 0 ~ 10
	w. c/.	